



2010

.....

.....

.....

-

.

.

.

.

	:
1	1.1
2	2.1
3	3.1
3	4.1
	:
5	1.2
18	2.2
18	1.2.2
23	2.2.2
	:
27	1.3
27	2.3
27	3.3
37	4.3
37	5.3
37	.3

38		7.3
		:
39		1.4
62		2.4
68		3.4
70		
75		

28	.()	.1
28		.2
29		.3
29		.4
30		.5
30		.6
31		.7
31		.8
32		.9
32	()	.10
33		.11
33		.12
34		.13
34		.14
35	()	.15
35		.16
36		.17
36		.18
38	()	.19
39		.20
40		.21
41	(T)	.22
42	(T)	.23

44	()	.24
44		.25
45		.26
45		.27
46		.28
46		.29
47		.30
47		.31
48	LSD	.32
48		.33
49		.34
49		.35
50		.36
50		.37
51		.38

		.39
51)	
	(
52		.40
52	(T. test)	.41
53		.42
53		.43
54	LSD	.44
54		.45
55		.46
55		.47
56		.48
56	LSD	.49
57	()	.50
57		.51
58	LSD	.52
	()	

58		.53
59		.54
59		.55
60		.56
60	LSD	.57
61		.58
61		.59

75

81

2010

. 128

:

:

.1

.

.2

)

.(

.3

):

.(

:

.

.

Abstract

The impact of peer delinquent behavior from the viewpoint of juvenile delinquents and working in the observation house in Riyadh

**sultan AL- Barrak
Mu'tah University 2010**

This study aimed mainly to investigate the effect of peer delinquent behavior from the viewpoint of juvenile delinquents and House staff in Riyadh region observation, in order to achieve this objective a questionnaire was distributed to 128 individuals. The study found a number of results:

- 1.** The most important causes of juvenile delinquency from the perspective of Juveniles and the staff were: the weakness of religious commitment of the Juveniles, family disintegration, family conflicts, poor supervision of family over the children, bad Kids.
- 2.** There is a role for peer delinquent behavior among the juveniles residing in the observation house in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, from the standpoint of (events and working in the house note).
- 3.** There is no statistically significant differences in the perceptions of Juveniles towards the role of peer behavior, delinquent, according to the variables: (age, educational level of the event, place of residence, educational level of the mother, profession of the father, mother's career, family income, the nature of the housing, the type of deviant behavior).

The study found several recommendations: The families return true educational methods have positive effects on the upbringing of their members, which reduces the chance of their involvement in peer groups among the poor that help to committing deviant behavior. The school and the educational role in the upbringing of children.

1.1

.

) (1987)

.

2.1

·
:

.1

.2

.3

)

· (

.4

)

. (

3.1

4.1

:

.1

.2

.3

)

.(

.4

)

.(

1.2

.(Siegler, 2006)

.(2002)

.(2007)

.(2009)

).

.(2004

.(Siegler, 2006)

.(2008)

.(1987)

(1987).(

(2004)

"Recrless"

.(1987)

.(Schaeter & Lamm, 1995)

.(Agnew, 1991)

:(Azmitia, 2002)

.1

.2

.3

.(2003)

"

.(2002) "

.(2001)

18

.(1991)

:

.(1989)

.

...

.(2002)

(1984)

"

"

.(1997)).

:

. **.1**

(1985)

(1990)

.2

.(1997)

.(1999)
() .3

.(1984)

)

.(1997)

(

. **.4**

:

.

.(2007)

.(1995)

.

:

.1

.(1997)

.2

)

.(2002

.3

.(2000)

.4

.

.5

.(1990)

.6

.7

(2006)

:

(-)

(2003)

(2003)

(Bandura, 1977)

.

(Bandura, 1977)

:

.()

(Sutherland)

.

(Sutherland)

Sutherland and)

:

(Cressey, 1978

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

(2004

) (Amos Haley)

:

.1

.2

:

:

.1

:

.2

:

.3

(1979

)

.(2004

)

2.2

:

1.2.2

: (2007)

.
.

.

.

.

.

: (2004)

()

1413

(18-13)

: (2001)

100 ()

:

.
:
: (1999,)

(48)

,
:
,
-1
,
-2

.
:
(1999)
-3

78 178
%76.9 100

: (1997)

(14-13)

(16-15)

, (18-17)

. (14-13)

(18-17)

" : (1996 ,)

(100)

-1

-2

-3

-4

(1989 ,)

(165)

-1

-2

(12)

-3

-4

-5

2.2.2

(Cheung& Nagi, 2007)

190

Battin,&)

: (Robert & Abbott & Hawkins, 2006

15-14

(Manova)

Comello & Leonora)

: (& Ruth, 2004

: 169

.%43

(Acosta, 2003)

Vitaro & Brendgen &)

(Tremblay, 2000
)

(
14-10

(2007)

(2004)

(2003)

(2001)

(2002)

)

(1999

(1996)

(1997)

(1989)

(Cheung & Nagi, 2007)

(Battin, & Robert & Abbott & Hawkins, 2006)

(Acosta, 2003)

Vitaro &)

)

(Brendgen & Tremblay, 2000

(

.

.

.

' ' ' ' ' ' .

1.3

.

2.3

(150)

. (45)

. (195)

3.3

(%65.6)

(128)

(38)

(90)

:

-

(1)

()

%	
-	-
-	10
2.2	2
22.2	20
75.6	68
100.0	90

18	16
	%75.6
	%22.2
%2.2	14
	16
	14
	12

(2)

%	
-	-
4.4	4
6.7	6
37.8	34
51.1	46
100.0	90

%51.1

%37.8

%6.7

.

%4.4

(3)

%	
82.2	74
8.9	8
8.9	8
100.0	90

%82.2

%8.9

.

(4)

%	
75.6	68
24.4	22
100.0	90

%75.6

.

%24.4

(5)

%	
6.7	6
15.6	14
2.2	2
6.7	6
15.6	14
28.9	26
24.4	22
100.0	90
	%28.9
	%15.6
%6.7	
.	%2.2

(6)

%	
86.7	78
13.3	12
100.0	90
	%86.7
.	%13.3

(7)

%	
37.8	34
24.4	22
6.7	6
4.4	4
2.2	2
11.1	10
13.3	12
100.0	90

%37.8

%24.4

%11.1

%6.7

%4.4

.%2.2

(8)

%	
48.9	44
13.3	12
13.3	12
24.4	22
100.0	90

%48.9

%13.3

(9)

%	
17.8	16
2.2	2
66.7	60
13.3	12
100.0	90

%66.7

%2.2

%17.8

(10)

()		
%		
42.2	38	3000
31.1	28	5000-3001
17.8	16	8000-5001
8.9	8	8001
100.0	90	

%42.2

(3000)

5000-3001

%31.1

8000-5001

%17.8

%8.9

8001

(11)

%	
46.7	42
53.3	48
100.0	90

%53.3

%46.7

(12)

%		
31.1	28	3
48.9	44	7-4
20.0	18	8
100.0	90	

%48.9

3 %31.1 7-4

. 8 %20.0

(13)

%	
33.3	30
20.0	18
15.6	14
8.9	8
6.7	6
6.7	6
4.4	4
2.2	2
2.2	2
100.0	90

	%33.3		
	%15.6		%20.0
%4.4		%6.7	%8.9
	.	%2.2	

(14)

%	
62.2	56
22.2	20
13.3	12
2.2	2
100.0	90

5

	%62.2		
	%13.3	%2.2	%22.2
5			
	.	.	-
	(15)		
()			

%		
28.9	11	25
36.8	14	35-26
28.9	11	45-36
5.3	2	46
100.0	38	

	%36.8	
(45-36	25)	%28.9
	46	35-26
		%5.3
	(16)	

%	
26.3	10
73.7	28
-	-
-	-
100.0	38

%73.3	
	%26.3

(17)

%	
23.7	9
5.3	2
52.6	20
18.4	7
100.0	38

%52.6

%23.7

%18.4

%.5.3

(18)

%		
34.2	13	5
36.8	14	10-6
23.7	9	15-11
5.3	2	16
100.0	38	

%36.8

5

%34.2

10 6

15 11

%23.7

. 16

%5.3

4.3

:

:

:

)

.(

):

(

18

13

:

5.3

:

(5)

6.3

Cronbach's)

(Alpha

∴
(19)

()

0.860	0.924	13-1
0.868	0.872	18-1

7.3

:(SPSS.V-15)

.1

(One Sample T.test) .2

(One Way ANOVA) .3

1.4

:

(20)

% 15.6		% 84.4		
14	76	1		
17.8	74	2		
60.0	36	3		
40.0	54	4		
28.9	64	5		
24.4	68	6		
26.7	66	7		
24.4	68	8		
20.0	72	9		
26.7	66	10		
40.0	54	11		
40.0	54	12		
13.3	78	13		

):

(

(21)

% 15.8		% 84.2		
6	32	1		
21.1	8	78.9	30	2
47.4	18	52.6	20	3
60.5	23	39.5	15	4
15.8	6	84.2	32	5
57.9	22	42.1	16	6
23.7	9	76.3	29	7
28.9	11	71.1	27	8
10.5	4	89.5	34	9
71.1	27	28.9	11	10
65.8	25	34.2	13	11
42.1	16	57.9	22	12
5.3	2	94.7	36	13

) :

) :

(

.(

:

(22)
(T)

t				
0.000	28.302	0.536	4.60	1
0.000	15.973	0.739	4.24	2
0.000	16.575	0.699	4.22	3
0.000	6.500	1.264	3.86	4
0.000	6.216	1.288	3.84	5
0.000	5.917	1.282	3.80	6
0.003	3.097	1.225	3.40	7
0.000	5.225	1.049	3.57	8
0.000	10.643	0.831	3.93	9
0.000	9.572	0.947	3.95	10
0.000	20.229	0.646	4.37	11
0.000	11.446	0.791	3.95	12
0.000	10.362	0.935	4.02	13
0.000	11.443	0.884	4.06	14
0.000	10.944	0.943	4.08	15
0.000	13.674	0.770	4.11	16
0.000	10.250	0.843	3.91	17
0.000	12.163	0.831	4.06	18
0.000	18.825	0.505	4.00	-

0.000	17.218	0.471	4.31	.	14
0.000	12.187	0.452	3.89		15
0.000	10.514	0.678	4.15		16
0.000	12.017	0.593	4.15		17
0.000	12.503	0.674	4.36		18
0.000	14.953	0.426	4.03		-

0.426 4.03

(Test Value = 3)

(0.000 = α)

14.953

()

.(0.05 \geq α)

()

(Test Value = 3)

) 6

(0.05 \geq α)

()

(

(0.223 = α)

1.240

.(0.05 \geq α)

:

)

(

(24)

()

-	-		10
-	-	12	10
0.217	4.16	14	12
0.271	4.06	16	14
0.561	3.98	18	16

:

(25)

F				
		0.078	2	0.156
0.741	0.300	0.259	87	22.560
			89	22.716

(0.741 = α)

(0.300)

(F)

.(0.05 $\geq \alpha$)

(26)

-	-
0.288	4.36
0.531	4.14
0.343	3.96
0.604	3.97

:

(27)

F				
		0.237	3	0.711
0.432	0.926	0.256	86	22.005
			89	22.716

(0.926)
.(0.05 \geq α)

(F)

(0.432 = α)

(28)

0.460	3.99
0.362	4.08
0.943	4.00

:

(29)

F				
		0.029	2	0.058
0.895	0.111	0.260	87	22.658
			89	22.716

(0.111)

(F)

.(0.05 \geq α)

(0.895 = α)

(30)

0.394	3.72
0.328	4.18
0.000	4.11
0.375	4.22
0.448	3.65
0.519	4.03

:

(31)

F				
0.017	3.003	0.593	5	2.965
		0.197	62	12.245
			67	15.210

(3.003)

(F)

.(0.05 \geq α)

(0.017 = α)

(Least Significant Difference) **LSD**

.

(32)

LSD

0.31624-	0.07143	0.50000-	0.38889-	0.46032-	-	3.72
0.14408	0.53175	0.03968-	0.07143	-	-	4.18
0.07265	0.46032	0.11111-	-	-	-	4.11
0.18376	0.57143	-	-	-	-	4.22
0.38767-	-	-	-	-	-	3.65
-	-	-	-	-	-	4.03

(33)

0.527	4.04
0.515	4.03
0.549	3.87
0.352	4.08
0.000	4.44
0.429	4.03

(34)

F				
0.848	0.399	0.102	5	0.508
		0.254	72	18.321
			77	18.829

(0.399) (F) (0.848 = α)
(0.05 $\geq \alpha$)

(35)

0.484	4.06
0.467	3.85
0.405	3.79

:

(36)

F				
		0.453	2	0.906
0.136	2.059	0.220	65	14.304
			67	15.210

(2.059)

(F)

.(0.05 \geq α)

(0.136 = α)

(37)

0.371	4.15
0.000	4.44
0.522	3.99

:

(38)

F				
		0.327	2	0.655
0.265	1.351	0.242	75	18.174
			77	18.829

(1.351) (F)
(0.05 \geq α) (0.265 = α)

(39)

()

0.565	3.91	3000
0.528	4.04	5000-3001
0.405	4.01	8000-5001
0.106	4.23	8001

:

(40)

F				
		0.262	3	0.785
0.385	1.026	0.255	86	21.930
			89	22.716

(1.026) (F)
.(0.05 \geq α) (0.385 = α)
(41)
(T. test)

T			
		0.462	4.09
0.114	1.597	0.532	3.92

(1.597) (T)
.(0.05 \geq α) (0.114 = α)

(42)

0.376	4.09	3
0.541	3.85	7-4
0.492	4.22	8

:

(43)

F				
0.013	4.526	1.070	2	2.141
		0.236	87	20.575
			89	22.716

(4.526) (F)
(0.05 ≥ α) (0.013 = α)
(8 3) LSD
: (7-4)

(44)

LSD

8	7-4	3		
0.12302-	0.24820	-	4.09	3
0.37121-	-	-	3.85	7-4
-	-	-	4.22	8

(45)

0.533	3.97
0.000	4.16
0.610	4.16
0.459	4.05
0.160	3.97
0.223	4.12
0.529	4.00
0.771	3.90
0.000	3.22

(46)

F				
		0.209	8	1.675
0.599	0.806	0.260	81	21.040
			89	22.716

(F)

(0.599 = α)

(0.806)

.(0.05 $\geq \alpha$)

(47)

0.510	4.02	
0.342	4.09	
0.603	3.66	
0.000	4.55	5

:

(48)

F				
		0.718	3	2.155
0.035	3.005	0.239	86	20.560
			89	22.716

(3.005) (F) (0.035 = α)
.(0.05 $\geq \alpha$) (5)
(3) :
:

(49)

LSD

5					
0.53373-	0.35516	0.07262-	-	4.02	
0.46111-	0.42778	-	-	4.09	
0.88889-	-	-	-	3.66	
-	-	-	-	4.55	5

:

)

. (

(50)

()

0.397	4.12	25
0.493	3.81	35-26
0.097	4.30	45-36
0.000	3.61	46

:

(51)

F				
		631.	3	1.894
0.010	4.432	142.	34	4.843
			37	6.737

(0.01 = α)

(4.432)

(F)

(45-36) .(0.05 ≥ α)
 : (46 35-26)
 (52)

LSD

()					
46	45-36	35-26	25		
0.51010	0.18182-	0.30375	-	4.12	25
0.20635	0.48557-	-	-	3.81	35-26
0.69192	-	-	-	4.30	45-36
-	-	-	-	3.61	46

(53)

0.384	4.06
0.447	4.02
-	-
-	-

:

(54)

F				
		0.009	1	0.009
0.826	0.049	0.187	36	6.728
			37	6.737

(0.049) (F)
.(0.05 \geq α) (0.826 = α)

(55)

0.087	4.29
0.000	3.33
0.474	3.90
0.108	4.26

:

(56)

F				
		0.773	3	2.320
0.002	5.954	0.130	34	4.417
			37	6.737

(5.954)

(F)

.(0.05 \geq α)

(0.002 = α)

)

.(

)

()

(

:

(57)

LSD

0.02646	0.39074	0.96296	-	4.29
0.93651-	0.57222-	-	-	3.33
0.36429-	-	-	-	3.90
-	-	-	-	4.26

(58)

0.402	4.21	5
0.396	3.92	10-6
0.471	4.03	15-11
0.000	3.61	16

:

(59)

F				
		0.322	3	0.965
0.149	1.895	0.170	34	5.772
			37	6.737

(1.895)
.(0.05 \geq α)

(F)

(0.149 = α)

:

:

.

(2007)

.

(2003)

(2002)

.

)

(2001

(1999)

(1999)

(1996)

(1989)

Cheung&)

(Nagi, 2007

Battin,&)

(Robert & Abbott & Hawkins, 2006

(Acosta, 2003)

Vitaro& Brendgen& Tremblay,)

(2000

(Bandura)

(Sutherland)

.()

:

)

.(

3)

.(7-4) (8

.(3) (5)
5

(45-36)

.(46 35-26)

()

()

()

3.4

:

.1

.2

()

.3

.4

.5

)

(2004)

(

(1991)

(2)

(1990)

(2003)

)

(

1

(2008)

:

(1987)

1

(2009)

"

(2000)

195-179 (4)

(1984)

(2004)

(2003)

(1990)

(1997)

(1989)

1

(2002)

—

:

(2002)

(2001)

(1997)

(1984)

(2007)

(2006)

(1)

(2002)

(1)

(1997)

/

102-83 (24)

1

(1987)

(1999)

(1989)

(1996)

(2007)

(1997)

: (2001)
 .1 29
 : (1999)
 (1985)
 (1995)
 : (1987)
 1 (2004)

Acosta, J,(2003), The effects of cultural differences on peer group relationship, **journal of prevention & intervention in the community**, vol (25), no(2), pp: 13-27.

Agnew, R, (1991), The Interactive Effects of Peer Variables on Delinquency, **Criminology**, vol (29), pp: 47-72.

Azmitia, M, (2002), Self, Self- esteem, conflicts, and best Friendships in early Adolescence In T.M. Brinthaupt (Ed), **Undersstanding early Adolescence Self and identity: Applications and interventions**, pp(167-192), Albany: state University of New york press.

Bandura, A, (1977), **Social Learning Theory**. Englewoods Cliff, NJ: Prentice- Hall.

Battin, S & Robert, K & Abbott, R & Hawkins, D, (2006), **The contribution of gang membership to delinquency beyond delinquent friends**, criminology, vol (36), no(1), pp: 93-115.

- Cheung, C& Nagi, S, (2007), **Effective group work with delinquents in Hong Kong**, a dolescence, vol (42), no(165), pp: 151-165.
- Comello, K & Leonora, M & Ruth, E(2004), Attitudes of rural Middle – School Youth Toward Alcohol Tobacco, **Drugs and Violence, The Rural Educator**, Vol 4, No 3, pp 68-82.
- Schaeter, R & Lamm, R, (1995), **Sociology**, McGrow- Hill, New York.
- Siegler, R,(2006), **How Children Develop Exploring Child Develop Student Media Tool kit and Scientific American Reader to Accompany How Children Develop**, New York, Worth Publishers ISBN
- Sutherland, E., & Cresey, D., (1978), **Criminology, 10 th ed, Philadelphia**, J.B Lippincott co.
- Vitaro, F& Brendgen, M& Tremblay, R,(2000), influence of deviant friends on delinquency: searching for moderator variables, **journal of abnormal child psychology**, vol (28), no(4), pp: 313-325.

()



.....

:

:

(X)

:

12	10	<input type="checkbox"/>	10	<input type="checkbox"/>	()	.1
16	14	<input type="checkbox"/>	14	12	<input type="checkbox"/>	
			18	16	<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	.2
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	.3
					<input type="checkbox"/>	
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	.4
		<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	.5

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	.6
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	.7
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	.8
	<input type="checkbox"/>	.9
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5000-3001 <input type="checkbox"/>	3000 <input type="checkbox"/>	.10 ()
8001	8000 - 5001 <input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	.11
7-4 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	.12
	. 8 <input type="checkbox"/>	
.....) .13 .(
14 .

45-36 <input type="checkbox"/>	25 <input type="checkbox"/>	
46 <input type="checkbox"/>	35-26 <input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
15-11 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>	
16 <input type="checkbox"/>	10-6 <input type="checkbox"/>	

: -

: (x)

			1
			2
			3
			4
			5
			6
			7
			8
			9
			10
			11
			12
			13
.....			
.....			
.....			
.....			

:

:

(X)

					.	1
					.	2
					.	3
					.	4
					.	5
					.	6
					.	7
					.	8
					.	9
					.	10
					.	11

						12
						13
						14
						15
						16
						17
						18

()

	.	1
	.	2
	.	3
		4
		5